## Neural Message Passing for Jet Physics

## Isaac Henrion, Johann Brehmer, Joan Bruna, Kyunghyun Cho, Kyle Cranmer, Gilles Louppe, Gaspar Rochette

Courant Institute & Center for Data Science



Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Introduct	tion				

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Introduct	ion				

## from physics.knowledge import \*

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Introduct	ion				

+

from physics.knowledge import \*

## from ml.algorithms import \*

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Introduct	ion				

from physics.knowledge import \*

from ml.algorithms import \*

from \_\_future\_\_ import nobel.prize

[...]

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Introduct	tion				

from physics.knowledge import \*

from ml.algorithms import \*

from \_\_future\_\_ import nobel.prize

[...]

<sup>†</sup>[K. Cranmer, '17]

Introduction

Conclusions

## Jet physics

## Large Hadron Collider



Jet Physics

Previous wor

Proposed mod

nodel

riments

Conclusions

#### **ATLAS**



Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Microsco	pic picture	1			





# pencil and paper calculable from first principles



pencil and paper calculable from first principles

## controlled approximation of first principles

Introduction Jet Physics Previous work Proposed model Experiments Conclusions
Microscopic picture



pencil and paper calculable from first principles

controlled approximation of first principles

phenomenological model





## Macroscopic picture



## Macroscopic picture



#### Macroscopic picture



Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Classifica	tion of W	-bosons			

#### Input

## Momentum estimates of jet constituents

 $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ 

#### Input

Momentum estimates of jet constituents

#### Goal

Infer the progenitor particle of the jet.

$$\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to \begin{cases} W \text{-boson (signal)} \\ QCD (background) \end{cases}$$

#### Input

Momentum estimates of jet constituents

#### Goal

Infer the progenitor particle of the jet.

$$\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\} \rightarrow \begin{cases} W \text{-boson (signal)} \\ QCD (background) \end{cases}$$

Binary classification problem!

Introduction

et Physics

Previous work

roposed mod

xperiment

Conclusions

## **Previous work**



pre-process

dense layer

0 0 0 quark jet

gluon jet



max-pooling

.

convolutional layer

 $b_{eam}$ 











- Attempt to reverse the generative process
- Sequential recombination algorithms



- Attempt to reverse the generative process
- Sequential recombination algorithms
- Cambridge-Aachen,  $k_t$ , anti- $k_t$







- Attempt to reverse the generative process
- Sequential recombination algorithms
- ▶ Cambridge-Aachen, k<sub>t</sub>, anti-k<sub>t</sub>
- Binary tree representation







- Attempt to reverse the generative process
- Sequential recombination algorithms
- ▶ Cambridge-Aachen, k<sub>t</sub>, anti-k<sub>t</sub>
- Binary tree representation
- ▶ NLP methods for parse trees



Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Recursive	e neural n	etwork			







Introduction

et Physics

Previous work

Proposed model

xperiments

Conclusions

## Our work

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Jet grap	hs				



QCD jet

W jet










```
Algorithm 1 Message passing neural network
Require: N \times D nodes x, adjacency matrix A
   \mathbf{h} \leftarrow \mathsf{Embed}(\mathbf{x})
   for t = 1, ..., T do
         \mathbf{m} \leftarrow \mathsf{Message}(A, \mathbf{h})
         \mathbf{h} \leftarrow \text{VertexUpdate}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m})
   end for
   \mathbf{r} = \mathsf{Readout}(\mathbf{h})
   return Classify(r)
```

## Question

## Where does adjacency matrix come from?

#### Question

Where does adjacency matrix come from?

### Answer 1

Use a physics-inspired adjacency matrix.

#### Question

Where does adjacency matrix come from?

## Answer 1

Use a physics-inspired adjacency matrix. BONUS: import physics knowledge

## Question

Where does adjacency matrix come from?

#### Answer 1

Use a physics-inspired adjacency matrix. BONUS: import physics knowledge

## Answer 2

Learn the adjacency matrix from the data.

## Question

Where does adjacency matrix come from?

#### Answer 1

Use a physics-inspired adjacency matrix. BONUS: import physics knowledge

#### Answer 2

Learn the adjacency matrix from the data. BONUS: export physics algorithm

## Question

Where does adjacency matrix come from?

#### Answer 1

Use a physics-inspired adjacency matrix. BONUS: import physics knowledge

#### Answer 2

Learn the adjacency matrix from the data. BONUS: export physics algorithm

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Learning	the adjac	ency matrix			

$$s_{ij}^{t} = F(h_i^{t-1}, h_j^{t-1})$$

Introduction Jet Physics Previous work Proposed model Experiments Conclusions

Learning the adjacency matrix

 $F(h, h') = v^{\top}(h+h') + b$ 



Proposed model L

$$F(h, h') = \mathbf{v}^{\top}(h+h') + b$$

$$s_{ij}^{t} = F(h_i^{t-1}, h_j^{t-1})$$

$$A_{ij}^{t} = \frac{\exp\{s_{ij}^{t}\}}{\sum_{k} \exp\{s_{ik}^{t}\}}$$
 (directed)

Introduction Jet Physics Previous work **Proposed model** Experiments Conclusions

$$F(h, h') = \mathbf{v}^{\top}(h+h') + b$$

$$s_{ij}^t = F(h_i^{t-1}, h_j^{t-1})$$

$$A_{ij}^{t} = rac{\exp\{s_{ij}^{t}\}}{\sum_{k} \exp\{s_{ik}^{t}\}}$$
 (directed)

$$A_{\mathsf{sym}} = rac{1}{2} \left( A + A^{ op} 
ight)$$
 (undirected)

**Algorithm 2** Message passing neural network **Require:**  $N \times D$  array of jet constituents **x**  $\mathbf{h} \leftarrow \mathsf{Embed}(\mathbf{x})$ for t = 1, ..., T do  $A \leftarrow AdjacencyMatrix_t(\mathbf{h})$  $\mathbf{m} \leftarrow \text{Message}_t(A, \mathbf{h})$  $\mathbf{h} \leftarrow \text{VertexUpdate}_{t}(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m})$ end for  $\mathbf{r} = \text{Readout}(\mathbf{h})$ **return** Classify(**r**)

Introduction

et Physics

Previous work

roposed mod

Experiments

Conclusions

# Experiments

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Dataset a	and metric	:			



▶ Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator



- ▶ Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator
- ▶ Same as in [Louppe et al. 2017]



- ▶ Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator
- ▶ Same as in [Louppe et al. 2017]
- 90k/10k/100k examples (train/validation/test)



- ▶ Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator
- ▶ Same as in [Louppe et al. 2017]
- 90k/10k/100k examples (train/validation/test)
- Classes are balanced: 50/50 signal/background



- ► Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator
- ▶ Same as in [Louppe et al. 2017]
- 90k/10k/100k examples (train/validation/test)
- ▶ Classes are balanced: 50/50 signal/background
- Metric: 1/FPR @ TPR = 50%



- ▶ Data sampled from the PYTHIA event generator
- ▶ Same as in [Louppe et al. 2017]
- 90k/10k/100k examples (train/validation/test)
- ▶ Classes are balanced: 50/50 signal/background
- Metric: 1/FPR @ TPR = 50%
- Binary cross-entropy loss

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Classifica	ation result	ts			

Model	Iterations	$R_{\epsilon=50\%}$
Rec-NN (no gating)	1	$70.4 \pm 3.6$
Rec-NN (gating)	1	$\textbf{83.3} \pm \textbf{3.1}$
MPNN (directed)	1	$89.4\pm3.5$
MPNN (directed)	2	$\textbf{98.3} \pm \textbf{4.3}$
MPNN (directed)	3	$85.9 \pm 8.5$
MPNN (identity)	3	$74.5\pm5.2$
Relation Network	1	$67.7 \pm 6.8$

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Classific	ation resul	ts			

1/FP	R @ TPR	= 50%
Model	Iterations	$R_{\epsilon=50\%}$
Rec-NN (no gating)	1	$70.4\pm3.6$
Rec-NN (gating)	1	$\textbf{83.3} \pm \textbf{3.1}$
MPNN (directed)	1	$89.4\pm3.5$
MPNN (directed)	2	$\textbf{98.3} \pm \textbf{4.3}$
MPNN (directed)	3	$85.9\pm8.5$
MPNN (identity)	3	$74.5\pm5.2$
<b>Relation Network</b>	1	$67.7\pm6.8$

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Classific	ation resul	ts			

1/FP	PR @ TPR	= 50%
Model	Iterations	$R_{\epsilon=50\%}$
Rec-NN (no gating)	1	$70.4\pm3.6$
Rec-NN (gating)	1	$\textbf{83.3} \pm \textbf{3.1}$
MPNN (directed)	1	$89.4\pm3.5$
MPNN (directed)	2	$\textbf{98.3} \pm \textbf{4.3}$
MPNN (directed)	3	$85.9 \pm 8.5$
MPNN (identity)	3	$74.5\pm 5.2$
Relation Network	1	$\overline{67.7\pm6.8}$

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Classific	ation resul	ts			

1/FF	PR @ TPR	= 50%
Model	Iterations	$R_{\epsilon=50\%}$
Rec-NN (no gating)	1	$70.4\pm3.6$
Rec-NN (gating)	1	$\textbf{83.3} \pm \textbf{3.1}$
MPNN (directed)	1	$89.4\pm3.5$
MPNN (directed)	2	$\textbf{98.3} \pm \textbf{4.3}$
MPNN (directed)	3	$85.9\pm8.5$
MPNN (identity)	3	$74.5\pm 5.2$
<b>Relation Network</b>	1	$\overline{67.7\pm6.8}$

Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Results					



Introduction	Jet Physics	Previous work	Proposed model	Experiments	Conclusions
Future we	ork				



► MPNN is O(n<sup>2</sup>) - can we make the matrix sparse?



- MPNN is O(n<sup>2</sup>) can we make the matrix sparse?
- ► Apply MPNN to larger datasets.



- ► MPNN is O(n<sup>2</sup>) can we make the matrix sparse?
- ► Apply MPNN to larger datasets.
- Reduce the number of nodes at each iteration (attention).



- ► MPNN is O(n<sup>2</sup>) can we make the matrix sparse?
- ► Apply MPNN to larger datasets.
- Reduce the number of nodes at each iteration (attention).
- Use QCD-inspired adjacency matrix for message passing.



- MPNN is O(n<sup>2</sup>) can we make the matrix sparse?
- ► Apply MPNN to larger datasets.
- Reduce the number of nodes at each iteration (attention).
- Use QCD-inspired adjacency matrix for message passing.
- Export adjacency matrix for sequential recombination jet algorithms.
Introduction

et Physics

Previous work

roposed mod

×periments

Conclusions

Thank you!